

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

IN RE QUALCOMM  
INCORPORATED SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:17-cv-00121-JO-MSB

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
APPROVING PLAN OF  
ALLOCATION OF NET  
SETTLEMENT FUND

1           WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on September 27, 2024 (the  
2 “Settlement Hearing”) on Lead Plaintiffs’ motion to approve the proposed plan of  
3 allocation (“Plan of Allocation”) of the Net Settlement Fund created under the  
4 Settlement in the above-captioned class action (the “Action”). The Court having  
5 considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; it  
6 appearing that: (i) notice of the Settlement Hearing was mailed to all Class Members  
7 who or which could be identified with reasonable effort substantially in the form  
8 approved by the Court; and (ii) a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the  
9 form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and over *PR*  
10 *Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having  
11 considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of  
12 Allocation,

13           NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

14           1.       This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by  
15 reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated July  
16 17, 2024 (ECF No. 428-1) (the “Stipulation”) and all terms not otherwise defined  
17 herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

18           2.       The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed  
19 Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the  
20 Action, including all Class Members.

21           3.       Notice of Lead Plaintiffs’ motion for approval of the proposed Plan of  
22 Allocation was given to all Class Members who or which could be identified with  
23 reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for  
24 approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of  
25 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the  
26 Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15  
27 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the  
28

1 best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient  
2 notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

3 4. Over 1.8 million Postcard Notices and over 4,100 Settlement Notice  
4 Packets (*i.e.*, the Settlement Notice and Claim Form) were mailed to potential Class  
5 Members and nominees, and the Settlement Notice, which included the full text of  
6 the Plan of Allocation was posted on the case website,  
7 [www.QualcommSecuritiesLitigation.com](http://www.QualcommSecuritiesLitigation.com). One objection to the Plan of Allocation  
8 has been received, from James J. Hayes. *See* ECF No. 443. Mr. Hayes's objection  
9 to the Plan of Allocation is found to be without merit and is overruled.

10 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the  
11 calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provides  
12 a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement  
13 Fund among Class Members with due consideration having been given to  
14 administrative convenience and necessity.

15 6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in  
16 all respects, fair and reasonable to the Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby  
17 approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

18 7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Order approving the Plan of  
19 Allocation shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

20 8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and  
21 immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

22  
23 SO ORDERED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024.

24  
25  
26   
27 The Honorable Jinsook Ohta  
28 United States District Judge